Analysis of the eclipsing times variations of an evolved binary

M.N. Ramos^(1,2), L. Gramajo^(2,3), C.A. Giuppone^(2,4)

(1) Facultad de Matemática, Astronomía, Física y Computación, Famaf, UNC

(2) Observatorio Astronómico de Córdoba, UNC

(3) Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y T[´]ecnicas (CONICET)

(4) Instituto de Astronomía Teórica y Experimental, CONICET - UNC

The Eclipsing Timing Variations (ETV) technique permits an indirect determination of orbital parameters of a circumbinary planet orbiting an eclipsing binary star. In this work, we show preliminary results on the analysis of the eclipsing binary star QS Virginis in search of an explanation for the variations in its orbital period. This system has been analyzed before but, there are no reliable explanations for the signal.

Using an analytical model and minimizing strategy, we find the orbital parameters of this body, that correspond to the best-fit. We test two strategies for estimating the uncertainties and, lastly, we analyze how the best fits changes as a function of the observational set.







C Universidad Nacional de Córdoba





Motivation and previous works

In the present around 5000 planets have been discovered [1] albeit a fraction of them have circumbinary orbits (~5% [2]). A particular set contains circumbinary planets that orbit close evolved binary stars (see Fig. 1) with periods of a few hours, that still is on discussion. These systems are detected indirectly by the Eclipsing Timing Variations (ETV or LTT) technique.

The chosen system for analysis is **QS Virginis** (EC13471-1258, [3], see Fig. 2). This binary has previous analyses [4][5][6] but the **proposed explanations have been discarded** by new observations or stability studies [5][6][7]. Presently, there are new observations that has not been analyzed in search for an explanation of the ETV signal [8].





Figure 2: Schematic and physical properties of QS Virginis.

We make a **temporal analysis of the determination process of orbital parameters** in the ETV technique.

Our objective is to design a strategy to model the presence of an additional body and study the dispersion of orbital fits as observations are added. We study this evolution and discuss the sensitivity of the fits as a function of the dataset.

Metods

Using the data published by [8], which contains **105 eclipsing times**, we built Construction of the (O-C) diagrams the (O-C) diagrams as a function of a linear and quadratic ephemeris. On this poster, we only analyse the result from the linear ephemeris. We minimize the residue function WRMS using consecutively a genetic Building of the **best-fit** algorithm [13][14] to explore the parameter space and a simplex algorithm [15][16] to refine the result. The set of resulting parameters is the **best-fit**. They are determined independently, first using a bayesian method **MCMC** [17] and then a Grid method. The last consists of making fits with the simplex Estimation of the uncertainties algorithm, fixing one parameter value in the vicinity of the **best-fit** and fitting the rest. Then we compare the resulting WRMS values against the WRMS1o to estimate the uncertainties Removing, chronologically, the eclipses and making fits with the simplex Analysis of the solution algorithm allow the study of how the parameters change as a function of the dataset.

<u>Results</u>

(O-C) diagrams and the best fits

In Fig. 3 the (O-C) diagrams and their best fit are shown. The residues present an **additional signal**.



Figure 3. *Upper panel:* (O-C) diagrams built using a linear (x) and quadratic (+) ephemeris and their best fits in continuous and dashed lines, respectively. *Lower panel*: Residues with the same color scheme as the upper panel.

Uncertainties estimation: MCMC

The region near to the global minima of the WRMS **have secondary minima** that prevents the algorithm to converge.

We filter the chains that mix on the global minima and estimate uncertainties. The result is **a sub-estimation of the uncertainties**. (<1% percentage error) for each orbital parameter.

Uncertainties estimation: Grid method

This strategy **produces more compatible uncertainties** with the values of the parameters previously proposed in the literature (Fig. 4)



Figure 4. WRMS vs. fixed values for the parameters (4 are shown for clarity). The dashed line represent the value of WRMS1 σ . brackets show the estimation the of uncertainties. The red crosses are the values of the **best-fit**.

Analysis of the solution

After obtaining several fits as a function of the observational set, we note that **the parameters have a considerable variance** (Fig. 5). This indicates that **there are not enough observations** to constrain the third body's parameters.



Figure 5. Variation of some orbital parameters of the third body as a function of the dataset. K:semi-amplitude, e:eccentricity, ω:argument of periapsis and P:orbital period.

